

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/618,165 07/17/2000		Jae Beom Choi	8733.039.20	8415	
30827	590 04/10/2006		EXAM	AMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			BOUTSIKARIS, LEONIDAS		
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	1., 20 2000		2872		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-
u	46.
п	110
- ' ' '	77

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/618,165	CHOI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Leo Boutsikaris	2872		

Advisory Action	09/618,165 CHOI ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leo Boutsikaris	2872	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply more of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejecti	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extermining the second of the secon	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da b. Diliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be unsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	of the fee. The appropring the final Office of the final rejection, of the final within two months avoid dismissal of the	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, ns of the date of
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	within the time period set forth in 3	37 CFR 41.37(a).	
AMENDMENTS			
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belonger appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		timely filed emanders	unt namaalina tha
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	nowable if submitted in a separate,	umely liled amendme	int canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		il be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s).	TCII/ADIC
13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.		PRIMARY EXA	
•		Leo Boutsikaris, Ph	I.D., J.D.

Primary Patent Examiner 4/3/2006

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 13. Other: Kubota does not teach away from using a polarizer holder that would absorb light. When Kubota says that the Ps component light illuminates the environment over a wide angle (lines 34-42, col. 3), he simply means that some of the light is spread/directed away from the incoming traffic direction. This does not necessarily imply that all this light can not be absorbed by the holder if it is incident thereupon.